WID.world TECHNICAL NOTE N° 2019/01

Methodological note for the calculation of top income shares in Greece (1967-2017)

Kostas Chrissis Franciscos Koutentakis

April 2019



World Inequality Lab

Methodological note for the calculation of top income shares in Greece (1967-2017)

Kostas Chrissis Hellenic Statistical Authority (ELSTAT)

Franciscos Koutentakis

University of Crete Hellenic Parliamentary Budget Office

1 Tax data

Income tax data are among the longest time series available for Greece. Since 1957, the Greek Statistical Authority (ELSTAT) has been publishing tables of tax returns by income group and source. Since 2003, more detailed tables were published by the Ministry of Finance and the Independent Authority for Public Revenue (AADE).

Our coverage period omits the first decade and begins the calculations in 1967 because from this date onwards tax data were declared (and published) on individual basis¹. Beginning from this year makes our results homogeneous, or at least this is the earliest we can apply the individual income approach of this study without seriously compromising the validity of our results.

1.1 Pareto approximation

The thresholds dividing the income groups in the published tables vary considerably between years and do not generally coincide with the percentiles we are trying to estimate. We follow the standard Kuznets-Piketty approach assuming that top incomes are well described by the Pareto distribution.

In brief, given a population with incomes above some threshold k, the Pareto distribution defines a cumulative distribution function F(y) that gives the share of population with income below y:

$$F(y) = 1 - \left(\frac{k}{y}\right)^a, \quad k > 0, \ a > 1$$

where a is the parameter that determines the shape of the distribution.

Differentiating F(y) with respect to y we obtain the density function f(y) of the distribution, i.e. the share of population with income exactly y

$$f(y) = \frac{ak^a}{y^{1+a}}$$

¹The problem with pre-1967 data is that the wife's income (above some threshold depending on the source) was added to husband's income and taxed accordingly. This practice was abolished in the first months of the dictatorship with the income tax reform 239/1967 that effectively established the individual-based income tax that is still in place.

The average income E(y) of individuals with income greater than k is given by:

$$E(y) = \int_{k}^{\infty} yf(y) = \frac{a}{a-1}k \equiv bk$$

According to the above equation, the ratio E(y)/k is equal to a constant $b \equiv a/(a-1)$. Therefore, by setting any arbitrary k we can directly observe E(y) from tax data, calculate the parameter b (or a) and derive the relevant income shares.

2 Control Total for Income

The aggregate (control) income that we use as the base to calculate the respective shares is derived from National Accounts data. The Household sector (S14) is provided in detail by Eurostat since 1995 but the previous years require some adjustments. National accounts for 1988-1995 follow different classification and can be found in a publication of the Greek Statistical Authority. Fortunately we can map the components in the different classifications and thanks to the overlapping year 1995 we can apply backwards the growth rates and construct a single series for the control income. For the remaining years (1967-1987) we only have GDP. To estimate the control income to GDP of the years 1988-2017.

2.1 Sequence of Household Accounts 1995-2017

Following ESA 2010 (pp. 603-606) the sequence of Household accounts is briefly described in Table 1 below.

2.2 Derivation of control income and mapping of accounts

For our purposes we need the components that would in principle amount to the declared income in tax returns. We begin with B2A3N "Operating surplus and mixed income, net" that includes income from individual business and self-employment. In terms of 1988-1995 accounts this is equivalent

14)	
$\widetilde{\mathbf{S}}$	
accounts	
ousehold	ount
	Acc
l sequence of l	Production
Simplified	
Table 1:	

USES

RESOURCES

P1 - Output of which P11 - Market output P12 - Output for own final use

> P2 - Intermediate consumption B1G - Value added, gross P51C - Consumption of fixed capital B1N - Value added, net

- Consumption of incent capital - Value added, net Generation of income account

RESOURCES B1N - Value added, net D3 - Subsidies RESOURCES Allocation of primary income account B2A3N - Operating surplus and mixed income, net D2 - Taxes on production and imports D1 - Compensation of employees - P D12 - Employers' social contributions- P D11 - Wages and salarie - P of which USES USES

D41 - Interest- R D45 - Rents- R B2A3N - Operating surplus and mixed income, net D1 - Compensation of employees - R of which D11 - Wages and salaries- R D12 - Employers' social contributions- R D4 - Property income - R of whichD421 - Dividends- R D422 - Withdrawals from the income of quasi-corporations- RRESOURCES Secondary distribution of income account B5N - National income/Balance of primary incomes, net D4 - Property income - P USES

B5N - National income/Balance of primary incomes, net
D62 - Social benefits other than social transfers in kind - R
D7 - Other current transfers - R

D5 - Current taxes on income, wealth, etc. D6 - Social contributions and benefits - P

of which

D611 - Employers' actual social contributions D612 - Employers' imputed social contributions D613 - Households' actual social contributions

D7 - Other current transfers - P

B6N - Disposable income, net

3

to N12 "Net operating surplus". Still, as we care about actually received income, we must subtract the component P12 "Output for own final use" since the latter refers to imputed rents, R&D, etc. that does not generate any kind of receipts. Unfortunately, 1988-1995 accounts do not report the respective component for households, therefore we approximate it applying the average ratio of P12 to Total Output (P1), which is broadly stable for the period 1995-2007.

Next we add labour income from D1 "Compensation of employees (received)". The equivalent amount in 1988-1995 accounts is R10 "Compensation of employees" which is itself the sum of three separate components (R101 "Gross wages", R102 "Actual social contributions" and R103 "Imputed Social Contributions"). Income from pensions and social benefits is given by D62 "Social benefits other than social transfers in kind" while for 1988-1995 derives from General Government sector, R64 "Social Benefits".

To remove employers' and workers' social security contributions we subtract D611 "Employers' actual social contributions", D612 "Employers' imputed social contributions" and D613 "Households' actual social contributions". For 1988-1995 we must again turn to General Government sector and use the components R62 "Actual Social security contributions" and R63 "Imputed Social security contributions".

Finally we add specific elements of D4 "Property income (received)". In particular, until 2013 we include only D45 "Rents (received)²" as the other components D41 "Interest (received)", D421 "Dividends" and D422 "With-drawals from the income of quasi-corporations" were not required in the tax declarations (taxes for interest and dividends were withheld in source). Since 2014, however, interest and dividends were also included in the tax declarations, therefore the respective components are added in the control income aggregate. The derivation of control income is shown in Table 2 below.

²Note that pre-1995 accounts do not report rents separately, therefore we impose the average ratio of rents to property income

-	National accounts 1995-2017	plus B2A3N - Operating surplus and mixed	minus P12 - Output for own final use - R	plus D1 - Compensation of employees - R					plus D62 - Social benefits other than social	transfers in kind	I minus Social security contributions		sum of:	D611 - Employers' actual social contributions	D612 - Employers' imputed social	contributions	D613 - Households' actual social	contributions	plus D45 - Rents - R	Control Income 1995-2013	plus D41 - Interest - R	plus D421 - Dividends - R	Control Income 2014-2017
	National accounts 1988-1995	N12 - Net operating surplus	P14 - Output of non-market services*	R10 - Compensation of employees	sum of:	R101 - Gross wages - R	R102 - Actual social contributions - R	R103 - Imputed social contributions - R	General Government - R64 - Social	Benefits	General Government - R62+R63 Social	security contributions							Rents^{*}	Control Income 1988-1994			

Table 2: Mapping of accounts and derivation of control income

3 Control Total for Population

Finally, the calculation of average income and shares requires a metric for total population. This is not the same as the number of tax fillers as many individuals do not submit tax declarations. The control total used is the population over the age of 18 from Eurostat. Note that we assume that the income of non-fillers is zero.

4 Some important caveats

Both controls, income and population, are used as denominators to calculate average income and income shares. The fact that they are in excess of declared income and number of fillers respectively, introduces some bias in our estimations.

Specifically, the assumption that non-fillers have zero incomes increases the income thresholds for all top income groups and therefore reduces their income share. Moreover, the assumption that non-declared income does not belong to the top income groups, reduces their income shares further.

In brief, the implicit assumption is that individuals who belong to the top income groups always declare their full income, something that is not necessarily correct.

Finally, the income tax reform in 2014 that required incomes from interest and dividents to be also declared, results in a jump of top income shares, especially their higher ranks. We suspect that their income shares in the previous years (without interest and dividents) are probably underestimated.

5 Income shares

Year	Top 10% share	Top 10-6% share	Top 5% share	Top $5-2\%$ share	Top 1% share	Top 0.1% share
1967	28.6%	6.2%	22.5%	12.4%	10.0%	2.4%
1968	29.3%	6.6%	22.7%	12.8%	9.9%	2.4%
1969	28.8%	7.5%	21.3%	12.2%	9.2%	2.2%
1970	28.8%	7.8%	21.0%	11.9%	9.1%	2.1%
1971	29.3%	8.4%	20.8%	11.9%	8.9%	2.1%
1972	28.4%	8.3%	20.1%	11.4%	8.7%	2.0%
1973	26.8%	7.9%	18.9%	11.2%	7.7%	2.1%
1974	27.1%	8.3%	18.8%	11.5%	7.3%	1.9%
1975	26.5%	8.4%	18.0%	11.3%	6.7%	1.6%
1976	25.9%	8.5%	17.4%	11.1%	6.3%	1.4%
1977	26.8%	8.9%	17.9%	11.6%	6.3%	1.4%
1978	27.3%	9.4%	17.9%	11.7%	6.2%	1.4%
1979	26.7%	9.4%	17.3%	11.4%	6.0%	1.3%
1980	26.4%	9.3%	17.1%	11.3%	5.8%	1.3%
1981	27.6%	9.8%	17.1% 17.8%	11.8%	6.0%	1.3%
1982	26.8%	9.9%	16.9%	11.4%	5.4%	1.1%
1982	25.9%	9.7%	16.2%	11.4% 11.3%	4.9%	1.1%
1983 1984	25.3% 25.3%	9.7%	15.6%	11.3% 10.9%	4.8%	1.0%
$1984 \\ 1985$		9.7%	15.0% 16.0%		$\frac{4.8\%}{5.1\%}$	1.0% 1.2%
1985 1986	25.9% 24.2%		14.6%	$10.9\% \\ 9.7\%$		1.2% 1.1%
	24.2% 24.2%	$9.7\% \\ 9.5\%$	14.0% 14.7%	9.7%	4.8% 4.8%	1.1% 1.1%
1987						
1988	23.5%	9.3%	14.2%	9.5%	4.7%	1.0%
1989	22.9%	8.9%	14.1%	9.4%	4.6%	1.0%
1990	23.6%	9.1%	14.6%	9.8%	4.8%	1.1%
1991	23.5%	8.9%	14.6%	9.7%	5.0%	1.2%
1992	23.3%	8.8%	14.5%	9.7%	4.8%	1.1%
1993	24.4%	9.5%	14.8%	9.9%	5.0%	1.1%
1994	25.4%	9.5%	15.9%	10.6%	5.3%	1.1%
1995	25.7%	9.6%	16.0%	10.6%	5.4%	1.1%
1996	26.1%	9.7%	16.4%	10.8%	5.6%	1.2%
1997	26.8%	10.0%	16.8%	11.0%	5.8%	1.3%
1998	27.0%	10.0%	17.1%	11.1%	6.0%	1.3%
1999	27.5%	9.8%	17.7%	11.3%	6.5%	1.6%
2000	28.7%	10.1%	18.5%	11.8%	6.8%	1.6%
2001	28.4%	10.1%	18.3%	11.6%	6.7%	1.6%
2002	27.7%	9.8%	18.0%	11.4%	6.6%	1.6%
2003	26.2%	9.2%	17.0%	10.7%	6.3%	1.5%
2004	26.1%	9.3%	16.8%	10.7%	6.1%	1.4%
2005	26.6%	9.5%	17.2%	10.9%	6.2%	1.5%
2006	25.6%	9.1%	16.5%	10.5%	6.0%	1.4%
2007	26.2%	9.1%	17.0%	10.9%	6.1%	1.5%
2008	26.5%	9.5%	17.0%	10.9%	6.1%	1.5%
2009	26.3%	9.3%	17.0%	11.0%	6.0%	1.4%
2010	28.4%	10.1%	18.3%	11.7%	6.6%	1.5%
2011	28.5%	10.1%	18.4%	11.8%	6.6%	1.5%
2012	28.9%	10.5%	18.5%	11.7%	6.7%	1.6%
2012	28.7%	10.1%	18.6%	11.6%	7.0%	1.8%
2010	29.2%	9.8%	19.4%	10.8%	8.7%	3.1%
2014	29.2%	9.3%	19.9%	11.1%	8.8%	3.3%
2015	29.2% 29.0%	9.4%	19.6%	11.1% 11.2%	8.4%	3.1%
2010	29.0% 28.1%	9.4%	19.0% 18.7%	11.2% 10.9%	7.8%	2.6%
4011	20.170	9.470	10.170	10.970	1.070	2.070

Table 3: Income Shares